

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,159	08/21/2003	Robert John Phillips	1-24678	9583	
4859	7590 03/31/2005	5 EXAMINER			
	LAN SOBANSKI & TO	SPITZER, ROBERT H			
ONE MARITIME PLAZA FOURTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET		PLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOLEDO,	OH 43604-1619		1724		
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,159	PHILLIPS, ROBERT JOHN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert H. Spitzer	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status '	•					
2a) <u></u> 3) <u></u>	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers					
10)⊠ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ⊠ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 04/12/2004.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,159

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 are allowed.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of undue length, as it is approximately 253 words long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 11 is indefinite because in line 5, it recites "the method", however, this claim is directed to "A vehicle". Claim 12 is indefinite because it depends from indefinite claim 11.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/646,159

Art Unit: 1724

6. The references listed on both the PTO-1449 and the PTO-892 show art of interest only, over which the claims define allowable subject matter.

Page 3

- 7. Applicant's response to this Office action must also include the following editorial changes: on Fig. 3, provide a description of numbers "14a" and "14b"; para. [0010], line 1, "is" should be deleted; para. [0021], line 2, "figure" should be "Figure"; para. [0023], line 1, "figures" should be "Figures", and "1 there" should be "there"; para. [0030], line 3, "15" should be "25"; para. [0033], line 4, "15" should be "25"; para. [0036], line 1, "15" should be "25"; para. [0039], lines 1 and 3, "15" should be "25"; para. [0041], line 1, "my" should be "may"; and a new page 9 should be provided, as para. [0041] is incomplete, because current page 9 is labeled "Example 1-Manufacture of Emilsion Composition Containing Retinol", which has nothing to do with the instant application.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Spitzer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from (5:30AM-4:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,159

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 29, 2005

Robert H. Spitzer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

March 29,2005